arXiv:1611.02125v1 [math.AP] 7 Nov 2016

Existence of solutions to degenerate parabolic problems with two weights via the Hardy inequality

Iwona Skrzypczak [*◇] and Anna Zatorska–Goldstein [†◇]

[◇]Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, University of Warsaw,
ul. Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

Abstract

The paper concentrates on the application of the following Hardy inequality

$$\int_\Omega |\xi(x)|^p \omega_1(x) dx \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla \xi(x)|^p \omega_2(x) dx,$$

to the proof of existence of weak solutions to degenerate parabolic problems of the type

$$\begin{cases} u_t - div(\omega_2(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda W(x)|u|^{p-2}u & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x,0) = f(x) & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x,t) = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \end{cases}$$

on an open subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, not necessarily bounded, where

$$W(x) \leq \min\{m, \omega_1(x)\}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Key words and phrases: existence of solutions, Hardy inequalities, parabolic problems, weighted p–Laplacian, weighted Sobolev spaces

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35K55, 35A01, 47J35.

[*]email address: iskrzypczak@mimuw.edu.pl

[†]email address: azator@mimuw.edu.pl

The research of A.Z.-G. has been supported by the Foundation for Polish Science grant no. POMOST BIS/2012-6/3

1 Introduction

We investigate existence and regularity of solutions to a broad class of nonlinear parabolic equations

$$u_t - div(\omega_2(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda\omega_1(x)|u|^{p-2}u, \qquad \text{in} \quad \Omega \tag{1}$$

on an open subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, not necessarily bounded, with certain weights $\omega_1, \omega_2 \geq 0$. We explore the meaning of the optimal constant in the Hardy inequality in parabolic problems, see [12] for details. The optimal constant in classical versions of the Hardy inequality indicates the critical λ for blow–up or global existence, as well as the sharp decay rate of the solution, see e.g. [1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 14, 12, 27, 26], Section 2.5 in [20]). In the elliptic case, existence results can also be obtained via the Hardy inequality, e.g. [10, 22, 23].

The inequalities which are crucial in our approach used to be called improved Hardy inequalities, improved Hardy–Sobolev inequalities, or Hardy–Poincaré inequalities. One of the first well–known 'improvents' was introduced in [7] as

$$C_1 \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + C_2 \left(\int_\Omega u^q dx \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

and was used therein in investigations on qualitative properties to the equation $-\Delta u = \lambda f(u)$, with convex and increasing function f.

In [26] Vazquez and Zuazua describe the asymptotic behaviour of the heat equation that reads

$$u_t = \Delta u + V(x)u \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta u + V(x)u + \mu u = 0,$$

where $V(x)$ is an inverse–square potential (e.g. $V(x) = \frac{\lambda}{|x|^2}$). The authors consider solutions to the Cauchy–Dirichlet problem in a bounded domain and to the Cauchy problem in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as well. The key tool is an improved form of the Hardy–Poincaré inequality. Furthermore, in [26] the authors generalize the seminal paper by Baras and Goldstein [3]. Nevertheless, the involved Hardy inequalities do not admit a broad class of weights.

In several papers, e.g. [4, 5, 6], dealing with the rate of convergence of solutions to fast diffusion equations

$$u_t = \Delta u^m,$$

the authors study the estimates for the constants in Hardy-Poincaré-type inequalities. The optimal constant in Hardy-type inequalities used to indicate the critical λ for blow-up or global existence, as well as the sharp decay rate of the solution. However, they usually deal with weights of the form

$$|x|^{-\alpha} \quad \text{or} \quad \left(1+|x|^2\right)^{-\alpha}.$$

The inspiration of our research was the paper of García Azorero and Peral Alonso [12], who apply the Hardy inequality [12, Lemma 2.1] of the form

$$\lambda_{N,p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^p |x|^{-p}\, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \xi|^p\, dx,$$

where $\lambda_{N,p}$ is optimal, to analyse positivity of the following nonlinear operator

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda u = -\Delta_p u - \frac{\lambda}{|x|^p}|u|^{p-2}u$$

in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and to obtain the existence of weak solutions to the corresponding parabolic problem.

Our proof follows classical methods of Lions [19], as well as the approach of Anh, Ke [2], who consider the initial boundary value problem for a class of quasilinear parabolic equations involving weighted p-Laplace operator. Our major difficulties are of technical nature and require more advanced setting, i.e. two-weighted Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega)$, due to presence of general class of weights both in the leading part of the operator as well as on the right-hand side of (1).

To involve broader class of weights in (1), we need more general Hardy inequalities. We apply the ones derived in [24], having the form

$$\int_\Omega |\xi(x)|^p \omega_1(x) dx \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla \xi(x)|^p \omega_2(x) dx,$$

where the involved weights ω_1, ω_2 depend on a weak solution to PDI:

$$-\Delta_p v \geq \Phi \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,$$

with a locally integrable function Φ (see Theorem 2.1). Quite a general function Φ is allowed. It can be negative or sign changing if only it is, in a certain sense, bounded from below. The weights ω_1, ω_2 in (1) are assumed to be a pair in the Hardy inequality. This inequality is needed to obtain a priori estimates for solutions to (1).

Moreover, to ensure that the weighted Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega)$ and $L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega))$, with two different weights ω_1, ω_2, have proper structure, we impose additional regularity restrictions on the weights, see Subsection 2.3. We suppose $2 \leq p < N$ to deal with embeddings.

In the paper we add yet another restriction, namely we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - div(\omega_2(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda W(x)|u|^{p-2}u & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x,0) = f(x) & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x,t) = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \end{cases}$$

on an open subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, not necessarily bounded, where the function $W(x) \leq \min\{m, \omega_1(x)\}$, $m \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The case of unbounded potential on the right-hand side is more difficult and requires more complex arguments. This work is in progress. We decided to add the restriction here in order to make the presentation more transparent.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation

In the sequel we assume that $2 \leq p < N$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open subset, not necessarily bounded. For $T > 0$ we denote $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0,T)$.

We denote the p-Laplace operator by

$$\Delta_p u = \mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$$

and the ω-p-Laplacian by

$$\Delta_p^\omega u \;=\; \mathrm{div}(\omega|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u), \tag{2}$$

with a certain weight function $\omega : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$.

By $\langle f, g\rangle$ we denote the standard scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$.

2.2 Sobolev spaces

Suppose ω is a positive, Borel measurable, real function defined on an open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$, satisfying the so-called B_p condition, i.e.

$$\omega^{-1/(p-1)} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega), \tag{3}$$

see [18]. This condition is weaker than the A_p-condition, see [21].

Whenever ω_1, ω_2 satisfy (3), we denote

$$W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega) := \left\{ f \in L^p_{\omega_1}(\Omega) : \nabla f \in (L^p_{\omega_2}(\Omega))^N \right\}, \tag{4}$$

where ∇ stands for the distributional gradient. The space is equipped with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega)} &:= \|f\|_{L^p_{\omega_1}(\Omega)} + \|\nabla f\|_{(L^p_{\omega_2}(\Omega))^N} \\ &= \left(\int_\Omega |f|^p \omega_1(x)dxdt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N \left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right|^p \omega_2(x)dxdt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Fact 2.1 (e.g. [18])**.** If $p > 1$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open set, ω_1, ω_2 satisfy (3), then

- $W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega)$ defined by (4) equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega)}$ is a Banach space;
- $L^p_{\omega_1,loc}(\Omega) \subseteq L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$;
- $\overline{Lip_0(\Omega)} = \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)} = W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega)$, where the closure is in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega)}$;

- if ω_1, ω_2 are a pair in the Hardy-Poincaré inequality of the form (10), we may consider the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega)} = \|\nabla f\|_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\Omega)}.$$

Fact 2.2. The operator $\Delta_p^{\omega_2}$, given by (2), is hemicontinuous, i.e. for all $u, v, w \in W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega)$ the mapping $\lambda \mapsto \langle \Delta_p^{\omega_2}(u + \lambda v), w\rangle$ is continuous from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$.

We look for solutions in the space $L^p(0,T; W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega))$, i.e.

$$L^p(0,T; W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega)) = \left\{ f \in L^p(0,T; L^p_{\omega_1}(\Omega)) : \nabla f \in (L^p(0,T; L^p_{\omega_2}(\Omega)))^N \right\}$$

(as before ∇ stands for the distributional gradient with respect to the spacial variables), equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega))} := \left(\int_0^T \|f\|_{L^p_{\omega_1}(\Omega)} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla f\|_{(L^p_{\omega_2}(\Omega))^N} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Dual space

By $W^{-1,p'}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega)$ we denote the dual space to $W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega)$ and the duality pairing is given by the standard scalar product.

We note that $L^{p'}(0,T; W^{-1,p'}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega))$ is the dual space to $L^p(0,T; W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega))$.

Embeddings

In the framework of weighted Sobolev spaces we impose restrictions on the weights to ensure that we have the Sobolev–type embeddings. Following [2], we introduce the following conditions:

$(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$ There exists some $\alpha \in (0,p)$

$$\liminf_{x \to z} \frac{\omega}{|x-z|^\alpha} > 0 \qquad \forall_{z \in \overline{\Omega}}. \tag{5}$$

$(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{\alpha,\beta})$ There exists some $\beta > p + \frac{N}{2}(p-2)$

$$\liminf_{x\to z} \frac{\omega}{|x|^{-\beta}} > 0 \qquad \forall_{z\in\overline{\Omega}}. \tag{6}$$

We have the following result, which is a direct consequence of [2, Proposition 2.1].

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose $2 \le p < \infty$, the function $\omega_2 : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally intergrable and satisfies condition $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$ and, if Ω is unbounded, we additionally require that ω_2 satisfies also condition $(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{\alpha,\beta})$. Assume further that (ω_1, ω_2) is a pair of weights in the Hardy inequality* (10)*.*

Then for each $r \in \left[1, \frac{pN}{N-p+\alpha}\right)$ we have

$$W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega) \subset\subset L^r(\Omega). \tag{7}$$

If, additionally, for arbitrary $U \subset\subset \Omega$ there exists a constant c_U such that $\omega_2(x) \ge c_U > 0$ in U, then we can choose $\alpha = 0$.

In particular, under the above conditions, we have

$$W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega) \subset\subset L^2(\Omega) \tag{8}$$

and

$$L^p(0,T; W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega)) \subset L^2(0,T; L^2(\Omega)) = L^2(\Omega_T).$$

2.3 The weights

In this section we give the restrictions on ω_1 and ω_2 sufficient for the existence of solutions to the problem

$$u_t - \operatorname{div}(\omega_2 |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) \;=\; \lambda\omega_1 |u|^{p-2}u \qquad on \quad \Omega_T. \tag{9}$$

We call the pair of functions (ω_1, ω_2) an admissible pair in our framework if the following conditions are satisfied

1. $\omega_1, \omega_2 : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ and ω_2 is such that for any $U \subset\subset \Omega$ there exists a constant $\omega_2(x) \geq c_U > 0$ in U;

2. ω_1, ω_2 satisfy the B_p-condition (3);

3. ω_2 satisfies $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$ and if Ω is unbounded we additionally require that ω_2 satisfies also condition $(\mathcal{H}^\infty_{\alpha,\beta})$;

4. (ω_1, ω_2) is a pair of weights in the Hardy inequality (10).

Comments

We give here the reasons for which we assume the above conditions, respectively:

1. is necessary for the strict monotonicity of the operator;

2. is necessary for $W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega)$ to be a Banach space;
   it implies $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$;

3. is necessary for the compact embedding (7);
   in particular it provides the existence of the basis of $W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega)$, which is orthogonal in $L^2(\Omega)$;

4. is necessary for a priori estimates for solutions.

Examples

We give several examples of weights admissible in our setting. Following [24, 25], we can take

- on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$
  - $\omega_1(x) = |x|^{\gamma-p}$, $\omega_2(x) = |x|^\gamma$, for $\gamma < p - N$, with the optimal constant $\lambda_{N,p} = ((p - N - \gamma)/p)^p$;
- on $\mathbb{R}^N$
  - $\omega_1(x) = \left(1 + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{(p-1)(\gamma-1)}$, $\omega_2(x) = \left(1 + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{(p-1)\gamma}$, for $\gamma > 1$, with $K = n\left(\frac{p(\gamma-1)}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}$ optimal whenever $\gamma \geq n+1-\frac{n}{p}$;

- on $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$

  Let us consider any function u that is superharmonic in $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ (i.e. $\Delta u \leq 0$) and an arbitrary $\beta > 3$. We can take

$$\omega_1(x) = u^{-\beta-1}(x)|\nabla u(x)|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2(x) = u^{-\beta+1}(x),$$

  if only each of them satisfies B_p condition (3). Then $K = 3(\beta - 3)$.

General Hardy inequality

The following result [24, Theorem 4.1] gives sufficient conditions for the Hardy-type inequality to hold.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ω be any open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $1 < p < \infty$, and nonnegative function $v \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$ such that $-\Delta_p v \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Suppose that the following condition is satisfied*

$$\sigma_0 := \inf\{\sigma \in \mathbb{R} : -\Delta_p v \cdot v + \sigma|\nabla v|^p \geqslant 0 \quad a.e.\ in\ \Omega \cap \{v > 0\}\ \} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, let β and σ be arbitrary real numbers such that $\beta > \min\{0, \sigma\}$.

Then, for every Lipschitz function ξ with compact support in Ω, we have

$$K \int_\Omega |\xi|^p \omega_1(x)dx \leqslant \int_\Omega |\nabla \xi|^p \omega_2(x)dx, \tag{10}$$

where $K = \left(\frac{\beta-\sigma}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}$,

$$\omega_1(x) = \big(-\Delta_p v \cdot v + \sigma|\nabla v|^p\big) \cdot v^{-\beta-1}\chi_{\{v>0\}}, \tag{11}$$
$$\omega_2(x) = v^{p-\beta-1}\chi_{\{|\nabla v|\neq 0\}}. \tag{12}$$

Remark 2.1. Note that under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 we have $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$.

Remark 2.2. By $\lambda_{N,p}$ we denote the greatest possible constant K such that (10) holds (for fixed weights ω_1, ω_2).

Let us mention several examples of application of [24, Theorem 4.1] leading to the inequalities with the best constants. Namely, they are achieved in the classical Hardy inequality (Section 5.1 in [24]); the Hardy-Poincaré inequality obtained in [25] due to [24], confirming some constants from [13] and [4] and establishing the optimal constants in further cases; the Poincaré inequality concluded from [24], confirmed to hold with best constant in Remark 7.6 in [9]. Moreover, the inequality in Theorem 5.5 in [24] can also be retrieved by the methods of [15] with the same constant, while some inequalities from Proposition 5.2 in [16] are comparable with Theorem 5.8 in [24]. Generalisation of [24, Theorem 4.1] in [11] leads to the optimal result in [17].

3 Existence

Let us start with the definition of a weak solution to the parabolic problem.

We consider

$$W : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$$

such that

$$W(x) \leq \min\{m, \omega_1(x)\}$$

with a certain $m \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Definition 3.1. *We call a function u a weak solution to*

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta_p^{\omega_2} u = \lambda W(x)|u|^{p-2}u & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x,0) = f(x) & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x,t) = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

if

$$u \in L^p(0,T; W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega)),$$
$$u_t \in L^{p'}(0,T; W^{-1,p'}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega)),$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_T} \left(u_t\xi + \omega_2|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\nabla\xi + \lambda W(x)|u|^{p-2}u\xi\right) dx\, dt = 0,$$

holds for every $\xi \in L^p(0,T; W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega))$.

Existence of a solution to the problem is obtained by the Galerkin approximation, where we use the fact that the operator $-\Delta_p^{\omega_2}$ from (2) is monotone (note that it is implied by $\omega_2 > 0$ in Ω).

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $2 \leq p < N$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open subset, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $m \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Assume that $\omega_1, \omega_2 : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$ satysfying (3) are given by (11),(12), respectively. Moreover, assume ω_2 satisfies $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$ and if Ω is unbounded additionally require that ω_2 satisfies also condition $(\mathcal{H}^\infty_{\alpha,\beta})$.*

There exist $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(p, N, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ and a weak solution u to (13), such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ the solution u is in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega_T))$.

Proof. We apply the Galerkin method. Remind that according to (8) we have

$$W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega),$$

which is a and the former is a closed subspace of the latter. Thus, each basis of $W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega)$ is contained in the $L^2(\Omega)$ and can be orthogonalised with respect to $L^2(\Omega)$ scalar product. Suppose $(e_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ is a basis of $W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)$, which is orthogonal in $L^2(\Omega)$. We construct an approximating sequence $(u^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ given by

$$u^n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_n^k(t) e_k, \qquad t \in [0, T]. \tag{14}$$

We determine a_n^k by solving the differential equation, which is a projection of the original problem to the finite-dimensional subspace $\operatorname{span}(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_n^k)'(t) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\int_\Omega \omega_2 \left| \sum_{l=1}^n a_n^l(t) \nabla e_l \right|^{p-2} a_n^j \nabla e_j \nabla e_k dx + \lambda \int_\Omega W \left| \sum_{l=1}^n a_n^l(t) e_l \right|^{p-2} a_n^j e_j e_k dx \right] \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with the initial conditions

$$\langle a_n^k(0), e_k \rangle = \langle f, e_k \rangle, \qquad k = 1, \ldots, n,$$

$$u^n(0) = f_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle f, e_k \rangle e_k \in \operatorname{span}(e_1, \ldots, e_n).$$

Using the Peano theorem, we get the local existence of the coefficients $a_n^k(t)$ on some intervals $[0, t_n]$. Note that $a_n^k(t)$ depend on $||W||_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq m$.

Let us now establish an a priori estimate for u^n and $(u^n)_t$. Because of (15), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u^n(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_\Omega \omega_2 |\nabla u^n|^p dx = \lambda \int_\Omega W |u^n|^p dx$$

and moreover

$$\int_0^T \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u^n(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} dt = \frac{1}{2}\|u^n(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - \frac{1}{2}\|u^n(0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u^n(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^n(t)\|^p_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\Omega)} dt \leq \lambda \int_0^T \|u^n(t)\|^p_{L^p_{\omega_1}(\Omega)} dt + \frac{1}{2}\|u^n(0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

We estimate the right-hand side using the Hardy-type inequality (10) and we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u^n(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^n(t)\|^p_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\Omega)} dt \leq \frac{\lambda}{K} \int_0^T \|\nabla u^n(t)\|^p_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\Omega)} dt + \frac{1}{2}\|u^n(0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

which we rearrange to

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u^n(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{K}\right) \int_0^T \|\nabla u^n(t)\|^p_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\Omega)} dt \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u^n(0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (16)$$

Thus we can assume $t_n = T$ for each n and as $u^n(0) \to f \in L^2(\Omega)$. We conclude that the sequence $(u^n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded in

$$L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega)).$$

Thus, we can choose its subsequence (denoted $(u^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as well) such that

$$\begin{aligned} u^n &\xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{*} u \quad \text{in} \quad L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)), \\ u^n &\xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} u \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega)), \\ u^n(T) &\xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} \zeta \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The fact that $\zeta = u(T)$ is a direct consequence of the arguments of [19, Chap. 2, Par. 1.2.2].

Let us show that the limit function u satisfies (13). As u^n solves the finite-dimensional projection of the problem (13), for each test function $w \in L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega))$ we have

$$\left|\int_0^T\int_\Omega -\Delta_p^{\omega_2}u^n w\,dxdt\right| = \left|\int_0^T\int_\Omega |\nabla u^n|^{p-2}\nabla u^n\nabla w\,\omega_2\,dxdt\right| =$$

$$= \left|\int_0^T\int_\Omega \left(\omega_2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}|\nabla u^n|^{p-2}\nabla u^n\right)\left(\omega_2^{\frac{1}{p}}\nabla w\right)dxdt\right| \le$$

$$\le \left|\int_0^T \left(\int_\Omega \omega_2|\nabla u^n|^p dx\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_\Omega \omega_2|\nabla w|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt\right| \le$$

$$\le \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla u^n\|^p_{L^p(\Omega,\omega_2)}dt\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla w\|^p_{L^p_{\omega_2}(\Omega)}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} =$$

$$= \|u^n\|^{\frac{p-1}{p}}_{L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega))}\|w\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega))}.$$

Using boundedness of $(u^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega))$ we infer that $(-\Delta_p^{\omega_2}u^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $L^{p'}(0,T;W^{-1,p'}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega))$. Moreover, we observe that there exists $\chi \in L^{p'}(0,T;W^{-1,p'}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega))$, such that (up to a subsequence) we have

$$-\Delta_p^{\omega_2}u^n \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} \chi \quad \text{in} \quad L^{p'}(0,T;W^{-1,p'}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega)).$$

As for $(u^n)_t(t)$, for each $w \in L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega))$ we have

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega (u^n)_t(t) w \, dxdt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left(-\Delta_p^{\omega_2} u^n(t) + \lambda W |u^n(t)|^{p-2} u^n(t)\right) w \, dxdt.$$

Therefore

$$(u^n)_t(t) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} u_t(t) \quad \text{in} \quad L^{p'}(0,T;W^{-1,p'}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)}(\Omega)).$$

Our aim is now to show that $\chi = -\Delta_p^{\omega_2} u$, which finishes the proof. We observe that $-\Delta_p^{\omega_2}$ is a monotone operator, therefore for each $w \in L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2),0}(\Omega))$ there holds

$$A^n := \int_0^T \langle -\Delta_p^{\omega_2} u^n(t) + \Delta_p^{\omega_2} w(t), u^n(t) - w(t)\rangle dt \geq 0.$$

Since

$$\int_0^T \langle -\Delta_p^{\omega_2} u^n(t), u^n(t)\rangle dt = \\ = \lambda \int_0^T \langle W|u^n|^{p-2}u^n, u^n\rangle dt + \frac{1}{2}\|u^n(0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - \frac{1}{2}\|u^n(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^n &= \lambda \int_0^T \langle W|u^n|^{p-2}u^n, u^n\rangle dt + \frac{1}{2}\|u^n(0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - \frac{1}{2}\|u^n(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \langle -\Delta_p^{\omega_2} u^n(t), w(t)\rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle -\Delta_p^{\omega_2} w(t), u^n(t) - w(t)\rangle dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5. \end{aligned}$$

When $n \to \infty$, taking into account (17), we observe that

- I_1 converges (up to a subsequence, since $W \leq \omega_1$, (7), and (16));

- I_2, I_4, I_5 converge;
- in the case of I_3, due to weak convergence of $u^n(T)$, we have $\liminf \|u^n(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq |u^n(T)|^2$.

We take upper limit in the above equation to get

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} A^n \leq \lambda \int_0^T W\|u\|^p_{L^p(\Omega)}dt + \frac{1}{2}\|u(0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - \frac{1}{2}\|u(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \\ - \int_0^T \langle \chi, v\rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle -\Delta_p^{\omega_2} v(t), u(t) - v(t)\rangle dt. \quad (18)$$

Note that $u_t + \chi = \lambda W|u|^{p-2}u$, so

$$\lambda \int_0^T W\|u\|^p_{L^p(\Omega)}dt + \frac{1}{2}\|u(0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - \frac{1}{2}\|u(T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_0^T \langle \chi, u\rangle dt.$$

This, together with (18), implies

$$0 \leq \int_0^T \langle \chi, u\rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle \chi, v\rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle -\Delta_p^{\omega_2} v, u - v\rangle dt = \int_0^T \langle \chi - (-\Delta_p^{\omega_2} v), u - v\rangle dt.$$

As we stated in Fact 2.2, hemicontinuity of $\Delta_p^{\omega_2}$ implies

$$\int_0^T \langle \chi - (-\Delta_p^{\omega_2}(u - \kappa w), w\rangle dt \geq 0,$$

where $v = u - \kappa w$, $w \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega_2))$, and $\kappa > 0$ is arbitrary. Letting now $\kappa \to 0$ we get

$$\int_0^T \langle \chi - (-\Delta_p^{\omega_2} u), w\rangle dt \geq 0,$$

independently of the sign of w. Thus,

$$\chi = -\Delta_p^{\omega_2} u.$$

□

References

[1] Adimurthi, N. Chaudhuri, R. Ramaswamy, *An improved Hardy-Sobolev inequality and its application*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), 489–505.

[2] C. T. Anh, T. D. Ke, *On quasilinear parabolic equations involving weighted p-Laplacian operators*, Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA 17 (2), 195–212.

[3] P. Baras, J. A. Goldstein, Jerome *The heat equation with a singular potential*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 284 (1) (1984), 121–139.

[4] A. Blanchet, M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, G. Grillo, J. L. Vazquez, *Hardy-Poincaré inequalities and application to nonlinear diffusions,* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 344 (7) (2007), 431–436.

[5] A. Blanchet, M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, G. Grillo, J. L. Vázquez, *Asymptotics of the fast diffusion equation via entropy estimates*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 191 (2) (2009), 347–385.

[6] M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, G. Grillo, J. L. Vázquez, *Sharp rates of decay of solutions to the nonlinear fast diffusion equation via functional inequalities*, PNAS 09/2010; 107(38):16459-64. DOI:10.1073/pnas.1003972107.

[7] H. Brézis, J. L. Vázquez, *Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems*, Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid 10 (2) (1997), 443–469.

[8] R. C. Brown, D. B. Hinton, *Weighted interpolation and Hardy inequalities with some spectral theoretic applications*, Bainov, D. (ed.) et al., Proceedings of the fourth international colloquium on differential equations, Plovdiv, Bulgaria, August 18–22, 1993. Utrecht: VSP. 55–70 (1994).

[9] R. N. Dhara, A. Kałamajska, *On equivalent conditions for the validity of Poincaré inequality on weighted Sobolev space with applications to the solvability of degenerated PDEs involving p-Laplacian,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 432 (1) (2015), 463–483.

[10] P. Drábek, M. García–Huidobro, R. Manásevich, *Positive solutions for a class of equations with a p-Laplace like operator and weights*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 71 (3–4) (2009), 1281–1300.

[11] P. Drábek, A. Kałamajska, I. Skrzypczak, *Caccioppoli-type estimates and Hardy-type inequalities derived from degenerated p-harmonic problems*, preprint 2016.

[12] J. P. García Azorero, I. Peral Alonso, *Hardy inequalities and some critical elliptic and parabolic problems,* J. Diff. Eq. 144 (1998), 441-476.

[13] N. Ghoussoub, A. Moradifam, *Bessel pairs and optimal Hardy and Hardy-Rellich inequalities,* Math. Ann. 349 (1) (2011), 1–57.

[14] J. A. Goldstein, Q. S. Zhang, *On a degenerate heat equation with a singular potential*, J. Funct. Anal. 186 (2001), 342–359.

[15] A. Kałamajska, K. Pietruska-Pałuba, *On a variant of Hardy inequality between weighted Orlicz spaces,* Studia Math. 193 (1) (2009), 1–28.

[16] A. Kałamajska, K. Pietruska-Pałuba, *New Orlicz variants of Hardy type inequalities with power, power-logarithmic, and power-exponential weights,* Cent. Eur. J. Math. 10 (6) (2012), 2033–2050.

[17] A. Kałamajska, I. Skrzypczak, *On certain new method to construct weighted Hardy-type inequalities and its application to sharp Hardy-Poincaré inequalities,* preprint 2016.

[18] A. Kufner, B. Opic, *How to define reasonably weighted Sobolev spaces,* Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 25 (3) (1984), 537–554.

[19] J.–L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution de problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Paris 1969.

[20] V. G. Maz'ya, *Sobolev spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.

[21] B. Muckenhoupt, *Hardy's inequality with weights*, Studia Math. 44 (1972), 31–38.

[22] P. Pucci, R. Servadei, *Existence, non-existence and regularity of radial ground states for p-Laplacain equations with singular weights,* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 25 (3) (2008), 505–537.

[23] P. Pucci, M. Garcia-Huidobro, R. Manaevich, J. Serrin, *Qualitative properties of ground states for singular elliptic equations with weights*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 185 (4) (2006) 5205–5243.

[24] I. Skrzypczak, *Hardy-type inequalities derived from p-harmonic problems*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA 93, (2013), 30–50.

[25] I. Skrzypczak, *Hardy-Poincaré type inequalities derived from p–harmonic problems,* Banach Center Publ. 101 Calculus of variations and PDEs (2014), 223–236.

[26] J. L. Vazquez, E. Zuazua, *The Hardy inequality and the asymptotic behaviour of the heat equation with an inverse-square potential,* J. Funct. Anal. 173 (2000), 103–153.

[27] C. L. Xiang, *Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to quasilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth and Hardy potential,* J. Differential Equations 259 (8) (2015) 3929–3954.